

BUILDING REPORT REQUIREMENTS ASCE 41-17 TIER 1 SEISMIC EVALUATIONS

BUILDING REPORT

1) UC Campus: Los Angeles


2) Building Name: Lake Arrowhead Lodge

3) Building CAAN ID: 42014) Auxiliary Building ID:

5) Date of Evaluation: 6/30/20206) Evaluation by: Englekirk, TAS

7) Seismic Performance Rating and Basis of

Rating: V, ASCE 41-17 Tier 1





9) Exterior Elevation Photo

8) Plan Image or Aerial Photo

10) Site Location

(a) Latitude Decimal Coordinates: 34.265509

(b) Longitude Decimal Coordinates: -117.186663

11) ASCE 41-17 Model Building Type and Description

(a) Longitudinal Direction: W1 and W2: Wood frame, wood shear panels

(b) Transverse Direction: W1 and W2: Wood frame, wood shear panels

12) Number of Stories

(a) Above grade: 2

(b) Below grade: 1

13) Original Building Design Code & Year:

14) Retrofit Building Design Code & Year (if applicable):

15) Cost Range to Retrofit (if applicable): (Low, Medium, High or Very High):



Comments: Drawings of original lodge not provided. Extensive two-story addition in 1984. Reference to building code is "California Administrative Code," which would suggest at least 1979 UBC. Extent to which the original structure was replaced or upgraded by the 1984 work cannot be determined without original lodge drawings. No CGS maps for north shore of Lake Arrowhead. Tall CMU chimney tied to roof framing.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Site Information

16) Site Class (A – F) and Basis of Assessment

(a) Site Class: D

(b) Site Class Basis: Unknown (Default)

(c) Site Class Company: None(d) Site Class Report Date: None(e) Site Class Ref Page No.: None

17) Geologic Hazards

(a) Fault Rupture (Yes, No or Unknown) and Basis of Assessment: Unknown, None

(b) Liquefaction (Yes, No or Unknown) and Basis of Assessment: Unknown, None

(c) Landslide (Yes, No or Unknown) and Basis of Assessment: Unknown, None

18) Site-specific Ground Motion Study? (Yes or No) No

Seismic design acceleration parameters of interest:	
For BSE-1N	1.249 and 0.805
For BSE-1E	0.919 and 0.545

19) Estimated Fundamental Period (seconds)

(a) Longitudinal: 0.19(b) Transverse: 0.19

- 20) Falling Hazards Assessment Summary: Tall CMU chimney with stone veneer tied to wood roof framing.
- 21) Structural Non-Compliances/Findings Significantly Affecting Rating Determination Summary Significant Structural Deficiencies, Potentially Affecting Seismic Performance Rating Designation:
 - (a) Lateral System Stress Check (wall shear, column shear or flexure, or brace axial as applicable): Yes, wall shear stress deficiency noted
 - (b) Load Path: No deficiency noted
 - (c) Adjacent Buildings: No deficiency noted
 - (d) Weak Story: No deficiency noted
 - (e) Soft Story: No deficiency noted
 - (f) Geometry (vertical irregularities): No deficiency noted
 - (g) Torsion: Not Applicable
 - (h) Mass Vertical Irregularity: No deficiency noted
 - (i) Cripple Walls: No deficiency noted
 - (j) Wood Sills (bolting): No deficiency noted
 - (k) Diaphragm Continuity: No deficiency noted
 - (I) Openings at Shear Walls (concrete or masonry): Not Applicable
 - (m) Liquefaction: Unknown



(n) Slope Failure: Unknown

(o) Surface Fault Rupture: Unknown

(p) Masonry or Concrete Wall Anchorage at Flexible Diaphragm: Not Applicable

(q) URM wall height to thickness ratio: Not Applicable

(r) URM Parapets or Cornices: Not Applicable

(s) URM Chimney: Not Applicable

(t) Heavy Partitions Braced by Ceilings: No deficiency noted

(u) Appendages: No deficiency noted

22) Brief Description of Anticipated Failure Mechanism

Failure of shear wall panels and nailing, hold-downs and/or compression posts. Excessive deck lateral drift.

23) Seismic Retrofit Concept Sketches/Description (only required for buildings rated V or worse) None recommended.

Building Report Appendices

- A) ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Checklists (Structural only)
- B) Quick Check Calculations