

UCLA – Clark Library CTR – Support Facility

DATE: 2/17/2021

ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Seismic Evaluation
Minimum Building Report Information

BUILDING DATA

Campus: UCLA

Building Name: Clark Library CTR Support Facility

CAAN ID: 4452

Auxiliary Building ID:

Address: 2520 Cimarron St. Los Angeles, CA 90018

Site location coordinates: Latitude 34.03351687 Longitudinal -118.3154301







Aerial Photo

Exterior Elevation

ASCE 41-17 Model Building Type:

a. Longitudinal Direction: RM1: Reinforced Masonry

b. Transverse Direction: W1 and W2: Wood frame, wood shear panels

Site-specific Ground Motion Study? No

Seismic Design Acceleration Parameters of Interest:

a. For BSE-1E S_{XS} =0.878g and S_{X1} =0.501g

b. For BSE-2E $S_{XS}=1.779g$ and $S_{X1}=0.914g$

Estimated Fundamental Period (seconds)

a. Longitudinal: 0.13sb. Transverse: 0.13s

Gross Square Footage: 1,667 Number of stories *above* grade: 2

Number of basement stories below grade: 0

Year Original Building was Constructed: 1990 Original Building Design Code & Year: UBC-1988

Retrofit Building Design Code & Code (if applicable): N/A

SITE INFORMATION

Site Class: D Basis: Byer Geotechnical, Inc., May 8, 2014, Pg. 6

Geologic Hazards:

Fault Rupture: No Basis: Referenced Geotechnical Report Liquefaction: No Basis: Referenced Geotechnical Report Landslide: No Basis: Referenced Geotechnical Report Basis: Referenced Geotechnical Report

UCOP SEISMIC PERFORMANCE RATING (OR "RATING"): V

"BALLPARK" RETROFIT COST (if applicable)

Minor	(<\$50/sf)	
-------	------------	--

☐ Major (>\$200/sf)

SUMMARY TIER 1 SEISMIC EVALUATION STRUCTURAL NON-COMPLIANCES/FINDINGS SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTING RATING DETERMINATION

Significant Structural Deficiencies, Potentially Affecting Seismic Performance Level Designation:

2.6	delic structural beneficies, rotentiany rarecting seismic refrontiance level besign
	Lateral System Stress Check (wall shear, column shear or flexure, or brace axial as
	applicable)

_		,		_	
	Lateral System Detailir	ng (reintorcen	nent ratio confi	inement asnec	rt ratio etc)
_	Eater ar System Betann	15 (1 51111 51 5511	iciiciacio, com	mement, aspec	se racio, ecc,

Load Path

Adjacent Buildings

Weak Story

Soft Story

Geometry (vertical irregularities)

Torsion

Mass – Vertical Irregularity

Cripple Walls

■ Wood Sills (bolting)

Diaphragm Continuity

Openings at Shear Walls (concrete or masonry)

Liquefaction

	Slope Failure
	Surface Fault Rupture
\boxtimes	Masonry or Concrete Wall Anchorage at Diaphragm
	URM wall height to thickness ratio
	URM Parapets or Cornices
	URM Chimney
	Heavy Partitions Braced by Ceilings
	Appendages

POTENTIAL FALLING HAZARDS

Heavy ceilings, features or ornamentation above large lecture halls, auditoriums,
lobbies or other areas where large numbers of people congregate.
Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways.
Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or other ornamentation above exit ways.
Unrestrained hazardous materials storage.
Masonry chimneys.
Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such as water heaters, boilers,
emergency generators, etc.
None of the above

Due to current COVID-19 protocols, we did not verify in field that as-built documentation match current conditions or perform any condition assessment of the existing structure to identify falling hazards as required by the UCOP SSP.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ANTICIPATED FAILURE MECHANISM

Wood shear walls do not have adequate capacity in transverse direction. Anchorage of CMU wall to diaphragm does not have adequate capacity.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Because the wood shear walls do not have adequate capacity in transverse direction they would need to be upgraded to either moment frames or braced frames.

The anchorage of the CMU wall to the diaphragm needs to be retrofitted due to the inadequate capacity.