

Campus: Los Angeles
Building Name: Courtside/PK
CAAN ID: 4302C
Auxiliary Building ID:



UNIVERSITY
OF
CALIFORNIA

Date: 10/26/2020

CERTIFICATE OF SEISMIC PERFORMANCE RATING

- UC-Designed & Constructed Facility
 Campus-Acquired or Leased Facility

BUILDING DATA

Building Name: Courtside/PK
Address: 200 De Neve Drive, 90024
Site location coordinates: Latitude 34.073686 Longitudinal -118.449871

UCOP SEISMIC PERFORMANCE RATING (OR "RATING"): IV

ASCE 41-17 Model Building Type:

- Longitudinal Direction: W1a: Wood frame, wood shear panels
- Transverse Direction: W1a: Wood frame, wood shear panels

Gross Square Footage: 368,791
Number of stories *above* grade: 3
Number of basement stories *below* grade: 5

Year Original Building was Constructed: 1992
Original Building Design Code & Year: UBC-1985
Retrofit Building Design Code & Code (if applicable): ,

SITE INFORMATION

Site Class: D Basis: None, None, None
Geologic Hazards:
Fault Rupture: No Basis: CGS Maps
Liquefaction: No Basis: CGS Maps
Landslide: No Basis: CGS Maps

ATTACHMENT

Original Structural Drawings: General Notes, John A. Martin & Associates, 3/19/1990, ST-0.1 or
Seismic Evaluation: N/A
Retrofit Structural Drawings: N/A, N/A, N/A, N/A

COMMENTS

Wood frame construction over partially subterranean concrete shear wall parking structure. Exterior shear walls in wood framed residential replaced with plywood after OSB deteriorated in mid-2000s. This is not considered seismic upgrade work because retrofit plywood capacity matched original design. Rating lowered one value due to non-presumptive parking structure. Kovacs-Byer prepared geotechnical report dated 3/3/1998 but site classification was not reported on the structural drawings. Site Class D assumed based on general UCLA soil conditions.



CERTIFICATION & PRESUMPTIVE RATING VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Thomas A. Sabol, a California-licensed structural engineer, am responsible for the completion of this certificate, and I have no ownership interest in the property identified above. My scope of review to support the completion of this certificate included both of the following (“No” responses must include an explanation):

- a) the review of structural drawings indicating that they are as-built or record drawings, or that they otherwise are the basis for the construction of the building: Yes No
- b) visiting the building to verify the observable existing conditions are reasonably consistent with those shown on the structural drawings: Yes No

Based on my review, I have verified that the UCOP Seismic Performance Rating is presumptively permitted by the following UC Seismic Program provision (choose one of the following):

- 1) Contract documents indicate that the original design and construction of the aforementioned building is in accordance with the benchmark design code year (or later) building code seismic design provisions for UBC or IBC listed in the Benchmark Building Codes and Standards table below.
- 2) The existing rating is based on an acceptable basis of seismic evaluation completed in 2006 or later.
- 3) Contract documents indicate that a comprehensive⁵⁷ building seismic retrofit design was fully-constructed with an engineered design based on the 1997 UBC/1998 **or later** CBC, and (choose one of the following):
 - the retrofit project was completed by the UC campus. Further, the design was based on ground motion parameters, at a minimum, corresponding to BSE-1E (or BSE-R) and BSE-2E (or BSE-C) as defined in ASCE 41, or the full design basis ground motion required in the 1997 UBC/1998 CBC **or later** for EXISTING buildings, and is presumptively assigned a rating of IV.
 - the retrofit project was completed by the UC campus. Further, the design was based on ground motion parameters, at a minimum, corresponding to BSE-1 (or BSE-1N) and BSE-2 (or BSE-2N) as defined in ASCE 41, or the full design basis ground motion required in the 1997 UBC/1998 **or later** CBC for NEW buildings, and is presumptively assigned a rating of III.
 - the retrofit project was not completed by the UC campus following UC policies, and is presumptively assigned a rating of IV.

⁵⁷ A comprehensive retrofit addresses the entire building structural system as indicated by the associated seismic evaluation, as opposed to addressing selective portions of the structural system.

Campus: Los Angeles
Building Name: Courtside/PK
CAAN ID: 4302C
Auxiliary Building ID:



UNIVERSITY
OF
CALIFORNIA

Date: 10/26/2020

CERTIFICATION SIGNATURE

Thomas A. Sabol
Print Name

Principal
Title

SE 3175
CA Professional Registration No.

3/31/2021
License Expiration Date

Thomas A. Sabol
Signature

10/26/2020
Date

AFFIX SEAL HERE



Englekirk Institutional
(323) 733-6673
888 S. Figueroa Street, 18th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017
Firm Name, Phone Number, and Address



Benchmark Building Codes and Standards

| Building Type ^{a, b, j} | Building Seismic Design Provisions | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | UBC | IBC |
| Wood frame, wood shear panels (Types W1 and W2) | 1976 | 2000 |
| Wood frame, wood shear panels (Type W1a) ^j | 1976 ^j | 2000 |
| Steel moment-resisting frame (Types S1 and S1a) ^j | 1997 ^j | 2000 |
| Steel concentrically braced frame (Types S2 and S2a) | 1997 | 2000 |
| Steel eccentrically braced frame (Types S2 and S2a) | 1988 ^g | 2000 |
| Buckling-restrained braced frame (Types S2 and S2a) | ^f | 2006 |
| Metal building frames (Type S3) | ^f | 2000 |
| Steel frame with concrete shear walls (Type S4) | 1994 | 2000 |
| Steel frame with URM infill (Types S5 and S5a) | ^f | 2000 |
| Steel plate shear wall (Type S6) | ^f | 2006 |
| Cold-formed steel light-frame construction—shear wall system (Type CFS1) | 1997 ^h | 2000 |
| Cold-formed steel light-frame construction—strap-braced wall system (Type CFS2) | ^f | 2003 |
| Reinforced concrete moment-resisting frame (Type C1) ⁱ | 1994 | 2000 |
| Reinforced concrete shear walls (Types C2 and C2a) | 1994 | 2000 |
| Concrete frame with URM infill (Types C3 and C3a) ^j | ^f | ^{f, j} |
| Tilt-up concrete (Types PC1 and PC1a) | 1997 | 2000 |
| Precast concrete frame (Types PC2 and PC2a) | ^f | 2000 |
| Reinforced masonry (Type RM1) | 1997 | 2000 |
| Reinforced masonry (Type RM2) | 1994 | 2000 |
| Unreinforced masonry (Type URM) ^j | ^f | ^{f, j} |
| Unreinforced masonry (Type URMa) ^j | ^f | ^{f, j} |
| Seismic isolation or passive dissipation | 1991 | 2000 |

Note: This table has been adapted from ASCE 41-17 Table 3-2. Benchmark Building Codes and Standards for Life Safety Structural Performed at BSE-1E.

Note: UBC = Uniform Building Code

Note: IBC = International Building Code

a Building type refers to one of the common building types defined in Table 3-1 of ASCE 41-17.

b Buildings on hillside sites shall not be considered Benchmark Buildings.

c not used

d not used

e not used

f No benchmark year; buildings shall be evaluated in accordance with the UC Seismic Safety Policy and the UC Seismic Program Guidelines.

g Steel eccentrically braced frames with links adjacent to columns shall comply with the 1994 UBC Emergency Provisions, published September/October 1994, or subsequent requirements.

h Cold-formed steel shear walls with wood structural panels only.

i Flat slab concrete moment frames shall not be considered Benchmark Buildings.

j Shaded cells are intentionally modified from ASCE 41-17 Table 3-2.