

Campus Services Building 1

DATE: 10/29/2020 ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Seismic Evaluation Minimum Building Report Information

BUILDING DATA

Campus: UCLA Building Name: Campus Services Building 1 (CSB1) CAAN ID: 4233 Auxiliary Building ID: N/A Address: 741 Charles E. Young Drive, South; Los Angeles, CA 90095 Site location coordinates: Latitude 34.06746005 Longitudinal -118.44726864



ASCE 41-17 Model Building Type:

- a. Longitudinal Direction: C2: Concrete Shear Wall
- b. Transverse Direction: C2: Concrete Shear Wall

Site-specific Ground Motion Study? No

Seismic Design Acceleration Parameters of Interest:

- a. For BSE-1E 0.743g and 0.516g
- b. For BSE-2E 1.543g and 0.945g

Estimated Fundamental Period (seconds)

a. Longitudinal: 0.243s

Psychology Building (Graduate Research Unit) – CAAN# 4319A UCLA Seismic Tier 1 Evaluation – Minimum Building Report Information



11/9/2020

Page 1

b. Transverse: 0.243s

Gross Square Footage: 56,966 Number of stories *above* grade: 2 Number of basement stories *below* grade: 1

Year Original Building was Constructed: Circa 1974 Original Building Design Code & Year: UBC 1973 Retrofit Building Design Code & Code (if applicable): N/A

SITE INFORMATION

Site Class: D (Inferred)	Basis: Inferred
Geologic Hazards:	
Fault Rupture: Unknown	Basis: Unknown
Liquefaction: Unknown	Basis: Unknown
Landslide: No	Basis: Inferred

UCOP SEISMIC PERFORMANCE RATING (OR "RATING"): V

"BALLPARK" RETROFIT COST (if applicable)

	Minor (<\$50/sf)
\leq	Moderate (~\$50-\$200/sf)
	Major (>\$200/sf)

SUMMARY TIER 1 SEISMIC EVALUATION STRUCTURAL NON-COMPLIANCES/FINDINGS SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTING RATING DETERMINATION

Significant Structural Deficiencies, Potentially Affecting Seismic Performance Level Designation:

- Lateral System Stress Check (wall shear, column shear or flexure, or brace axial as applicable)
 - Lateral System Detailing (reinforcement ratio, confinement, aspect ratio, etc)
- 🖂 🛛 Load Path
- Adjacent Buildings
- Weak Story
- Soft Story
- Geometry (vertical irregularities)
- ⊠ Torsion
- Mass Vertical Irregularity
- Cripple Walls
- Wood Sills (bolting)
- Diaphragm Continuity

Psychology Building (Graduate Research Unit) – CAAN# 4319A UCLA Seismic Tier 1 Evaluation – Minimum Building Report Information

- Openings at Shear Walls (concrete or masonry)
- Liquefaction
- Slope Failure
- Surface Fault Rupture
- Masonry or Concrete Wall Anchorage at Diaphragm
- URM wall height to thickness ratio
- **URM Parapets or Cornices**
- **URM Chimney**
- Heavy Partitions Braced by Ceilings
- Appendages

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ANTICIPATED FAILURE MECHANISM

Due to insufficient lateral system length and potentially increased seismic forces due to a torsion irregularity it is anticipated that the concrete shear walls will be overstressed in a seismic event and could lead to failure of the lateral system as a whole.

Per the Tier 1 evaluation there does not appear to be sufficient connection between shear walls and foundation elements and will likely require mitigation.

Lastly it was found that the seismic separation between CSB1 and the adjacent 1993 Cogen Building is less than the allowed limit per the Tier 1 evaluation. This could lead to the two buildings colliding in a seismic event providing unanticipated forces and damage.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended for a Tier 2 and Tier 3 evaluations to be done. Further evaluation will allow development of a retrofit scheme that will likely cconsist of strengthening concrete walls and addressing the connection of shear walls to the foundations.

POTENTIAL FALLING HAZARDS

- Heavy ceilings, features or ornamentation above large lecture halls, auditoriums, lobbies or other areas where large numbers of people congregate.
- Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways.
- Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or other ornamentation above exit ways.
- Unrestrained hazardous materials storage.
- Masonry chimneys.
- Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such as water heaters, boilers, emergency generators, etc.
- \boxtimes None of the above.

Due to current COVID-19 protocols, we did not verify in field that as-built documentation match current conditions or perform any condition assessment of the existing structure to identify falling hazards as required by the UCOP SSP.

Appendices

- A. ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Checklists
- B. Quick Check Calculations