Campus: UCLA Building Name: Easton Stadium CAAN ID: 4303 Auxiliary Building ID: 4303.2



Date: Apr 14, 2021

FORM 1 CERTIFICATE OF SEISMIC PERFORMANCE LEVEL UC-Designed & Constructed Facility

OF

UNIVERSITY

CALIFORNIA

Campus-Acquired or Leased Facility

BUILDING DATA

Building Name: Easton Stadium - Bleacher Storage Address: 100 De Neve Dr. Los Angeles, CA 90024 Site location coordinates: Latitude 34.07389225 Longitudinal -118.4424168

UCOP SEISMIC PERFORMANCE LEVEL (OR "RATING"): V

ASCE 41-17 Model Building Type:

- a. Longitudinal Direction: MH (Manufactured Housing)
- b. Transverse Direction: MH (Manufactured Housing)

Gross Square Footage: 484 Number of stories *above* grade: 1 Number of basement stories *below* grade: 0

Year Original Building was Constructed: Unknown Original Building Design Code & Year: Unknown Retrofit Building Design Code & Code (if applicable): N/A

SITE INFORMATION

Site Class: D	Basis:	Inferred
Geologic Hazards:		
Fault Rupture: No	Basis:	CGS Earthquake Hazards Zone Application
Liquefaction: Yes	Basis:	CGS Earthquake Hazards Zone Application
Landslide: Yes	Basis:	CGS Earthquake Hazards Zone Application

ATTACHMENT

Original Structural Drawings: (N/A, N/A, N/A, N/A) or Seismic Evaluation: (Easton Stadium - Bleacher Storage Seismic Evaluation, KPFF, 4/14/2021, FEMA 154 Rapid Visual Screening) Retrofit Structural Drawings: (N/A, N/A, N/A, N/A)



CERTIFICATION & PRESUMPTIVE RATING VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Mark Hershberg, a California-licensed structural engineer, am responsible for the completion of this certificate, and I have no ownership interest in the property identified above. My scope of review to support the completion of this certificate included both of the following ("No" responses must include an explanation):

OF

UNIVERSITY

CALIFORNIA

- a) the review of structural drawings indicating that they are as-built or record drawings, or that they otherwise are the basis for the construction of the building: □ Yes ☑ No
- b) visiting the building to verify the observable existing conditions are reasonably consistent with those shown on the structural drawings: ☑ Yes □ No

No as-built drawings were available, so evaluation performed using FEMA 154 Level 2 Rapid Visual Screening protocol on visual observations only.

Based on my review, I have verified that the UCOP Seismic Performance Level (SPL) is presumptively permitted by the following UC Seismic Program Guidebook provision (choose one of the following):

□ 1) Contract documents indicate that the original design and construction of the aforementioned building is in accordance with the benchmark design code year (or later) building code seismic design provisions for UBC or IBC listed in Table 1 below.

☑ 2) The existing SPL rating is based on an acceptable basis of seismic evaluation completed in 2006 or later.

□ 3) Contract documents indicate that a comprehensive¹ building seismic retrofit design was fullyconstructed with an engineered design based on the 1997 UBC/1998 *or later* CBC, and (choose one of the following):

□ the retrofit project was completed by the UC campus. Further, the design was based on ground motion parameters, at a minimum, corresponding to BSE-1E (or BSE-R) and BSE-2E (or BSE-C) as defined in ASCE 41, or the full design basis ground motion required in the 1997 UBC/1998 CBC *or later* for EXISTING buildings, and is presumptively assigned an SPL rating of IV.

□ the retrofit project was completed by the UC campus. Further, the design was based on ground motion parameters, at a minimum, corresponding to BSE-1 (or BSE-1N) and BSE-2 (or BSE-2N) as defined in ASCE 41, or the full design basis ground motion required in the 1997 UBC/1998 *or later* CBC for NEW buildings, and is presumptively assigned an SPL rating of III.

□ the retrofit project was not completed by the UC campus following UC policies, and is presumptively assigned an SPL rating of IV.

¹ A comprehensive retrofit addresses the entire building structural system as indicated by the associated seismic evaluation, as opposed to addressing selective portions of the structural system.

Campus: UCLA Building Name: Easton Stadium CAAN ID: 4303 Auxiliary Building ID: 4303.2



Date: Apr 14, 2021

CERTIFICATION SIGNATURE

Mark Hershberg

Print Name

Principal Title

6/30/2021

S5078 CA Professional Registration No. Signature

License Expiration Date

UNIVERSITY

CALIFORNIA

OF

4/14/2021

Date

AFFIX SEAL HERE

OFESSION PR HERS MARY REG/S; S 5078 ٢ RUCT OF CA

KPFF Inc., (213) 418-0201, 700 S. Flower St., Suite 2100, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Firm Name, Phone Number, and Address

Campus: UCLA Building Name: Easton Stadium CAAN ID: 4303 Auxiliary Building ID: 4303.2



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 1: Benchmark Building Codes and Standards

	Building Seismic Design Provisions					
Building Type ^{a,b}	UBC	IBC				
Wood frame, wood shear panels (Types W1 and W2)	1976	2000				
Wood frame, wood shear panels (Type W1a)	1976	2000				
Steel moment-resisting frame (Types S1 and S1a)	1997	2000				
Steel concentrically braced frame (Types S2 and S2a)	1997	2000				
Steel eccentrically braced frame (Types S2 and S2a)	1988 ^g	2000				
Buckling-restrained braced frame (Types S2 and S2a)	f	2006				
Metal building frames (Type S3)	f	2000				
Steel frame with concrete shear walls (Type S4)	1994	2000				
Steel frame with URM infill (Types S5 and S5a)	f	2000				
Steel plate shear wall (Type S6)	f	2006				
Cold-formed steel light-frame construction—shear wall system (Type CFS1)	1997 ^h	2000				
Cold-formed steel light-frame construction—strap-braced wall system (Type CFS2)	f	2003				
Reinforced concrete moment-resisting frame (Type C1) ⁱ	1994	2000				
Reinforced concrete shear walls (Types C2 and C2a)	1994	2000				
Concrete frame with URM infill (Types C3 and C3a)	f	f				
Tilt-up concrete (Types PC1 and PC1a)	1997	2000				
Precast concrete frame (Types PC2 and PC2a)	f	2000				
Reinforced masonry (Type RM1)	1997	2000				
Reinforced masonry (Type RM2)	1994	2000				
Unreinforced masonry (Type URM)	f	f				
Unreinforced masonry (Type URMa)	f	f				
Seismic isolation or passive dissipation	1991	2000				

Note: This table has been adapted from ASCE 41-17 Table 3-2. Benchmark Building Codes and Standards for Life Safety Structural Performed at BSE-1E. Note: UBC = Uniform Building Code. IBC = International Building Code.

^a Building type refers to one of the common building types defined in Table 3-1 of ASCE 41-17.

^b Buildings on hillside sites shall not be considered Benchmark Buildings.

^c not used

^d not used

^e not used

^f No benchmark year; buildings shall be evaluated in accordance with Section III.J.

^g Steel eccentrically braced frames with links adjacent to columns shall comply with the 1994 UBC Emergency Provisions, published September/October 1994, or subsequent requirements.

^h Cold-formed steel shear walls with wood structural panels only.

ⁱ Flat slab concrete moment frames shall not be considered Benchmark Buildings.



UCLA – Easton Stadium (Bleacher Storage)

DATE: 4/14/2021 FEMA 154 Rapid Visual Screening Minimum Building Report Information

BUILDING DATA

S 5078

Campus: UCLA Building Name: Easton Stadium - Bleacher Storage CAAN ID: 4303 Auxiliary Building ID: 4303.2 Address: 100 De Neve Dr. Los Angeles, CA 90024 Site location coordinates: Latitude 34.07389225 Longitudinal -118.4424168



ASCE 41-17 Model Building Type:

- a. Longitudinal Direction: MH (Manufactured Housing)
- b. Transverse Direction: MH (Manufactured Housing)

Site-specific Ground Motion Study? No

Seismic Design Acceleration Parameters of Interest:

- a. For BSE-1E S_{XS}=0.895g and S_{X1}=0.515g
- b. For BSE-2E $$S_{XS}=1.53g$$ and $$S_{X1}=0.941g$$

Estimated Fundamental Period (seconds)

- a. Longitudinal: Unknown
- b. Transverse: Unknown

Gross Square Footage: 484 Number of stories *above* grade: 1 Number of basement stories below grade: 0

Year Original Building was Constructed: Unknown Original Building Design Code & Year: Unknown Retrofit Building Design Code & Code (if applicable): N/A

SITE INFORMATION

Site Class: D	Basis:	Inferred
Geologic Hazards:		
Fault Rupture: No	Basis:	CGS Earthquake Hazards Zone Application
Liquefaction: Yes	Basis:	CGS Earthquake Hazards Zone Application
Landslide: Yes	Basis:	CGS Earthquake Hazards Zone Application

UCOP SEISMIC PERFORMANCE RATING (OR "RATING"): V

"BALLPARK" RETROFIT COST (if applicable)

- \boxtimes Minor (<\$50/sf)
- □ Moderate (~\$50-\$200/sf)
- □ Major (>\$200/sf)

SUMMARY TIER 1 SEISMIC EVALUATION STRUCTURAL NON-COMPLIANCES/FINDINGS SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTING RATING DETERMINATION

Significant Structural Deficiencies, Potentially Affecting Seismic Performance Level Designation:

- Lateral System Stress Check (wall shear, column shear or flexure, or brace axial as applicable)
- Lateral System Detailing (reinforcement ratio, confinement, aspect ratio, etc)
- \boxtimes Load Path
- **Adjacent Buildings**
- Weak Story
- Soft Story
- Geometry (vertical irregularities)
- Torsion
- Mass – Vertical Irregularity
- **Cripple Walls**
- Wood Sills (bolting)
- Diaphragm Continuity
- Openings at Shear Walls (concrete or masonry)
- \boxtimes Liquefaction

- Slope Failure
- Surface Fault Rupture
- Masonry or Concrete Wall Anchorage at Diaphragm
- URM wall height to thickness ratio
- **URM** Parapets or Cornices
- **URM Chimney**
- Heavy Partitions Braced by Ceilings
- Appendages

POTENTIAL FALLING HAZARDS

- Heavy ceilings, features or ornamentation above large lecture halls, auditoriums, lobbies or other areas where large numbers of people congregate.
- Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways.
- Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or other ornamentation above exit ways.
- Unrestrained hazardous materials storage.
- Masonry chimneys.
- Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such as water heaters, boilers, emergency generators, etc.
- \square None of the above.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ANTICIPATED FAILURE MECHANISM

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A FEMA 154 Level 2 Rapid Visual Screening was performed in lieu of an ASCE Tier 1 evaluation due to construction type and lack of as-built documentation.

Appendices

A. FEMA 154 Rapid Visual Screening

Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards FEMA P-154 Data Collection Form

Level 1 VERY HIGH Seismicity

Novel MIA.	ANG N	12	N		1 . The		Add	lress: ´	100 E	De Ne	eve D)r						
.=	all of the		Minister					Ī	os A	ngel	es, C	A		Z	Zip: 9()024		
	Oth	Other Identifiers: 4303.2																
							Bui	lding Na	me: <u>E</u>	asto	n Sta	adiun	<u>า - B</u>	leach	ner S	torag	е	
	Use	Use: Utility																
A A THE		_					Lati	tude: <u>、</u>	<u>84.07</u> 020	6396	0/		Longitu	de: - <u> </u> 723	18.4	5330	40	
		-					Scr	eener(s)					ס: <u>0</u> ח	.1∠0 ate/Tim	e 3	/25/2	1 11:	am
	No	Screener(s): Date/Time: <u>3/25/21, 11am</u>																
- AND REC	Tota	al Floor	Area (s	a. ft.):	. <u>1</u> 484				_ rea Code	Year:	N/A							
	Add	litions:	ZN	lone	Yes, Y	'ear(s) E	uilt:		_									
	X						Occ	upancy	: Ass	embly	Comme	rcial	Emer. S	ervices	ΠH	istoric	🗌 She	lter
4		-		IM					Indu	ustrial	Office		School	tiol #Ur	G 🗋	overnmer	nt	
	L	·	1				Sail	Tunai							ייי <u>י</u> חב ח			
							301	Type.	Hard	Avg	Den	se S	tiff S	J⊑ L oft P	oor If	DNK, ass	ume Type	e D.
- 3 3/					1.84		_		Rock	Rock	So	I S	oil S	ioil S		· •··•• •		
C					a for		Geo		azarus:		cuori. res			nue. res	mo/Dink	Adiogon	t Duilding	
A MARY	1	-	-						~.				i ann y F	azaius ir Nopo		Aujacen	r Dullull)(9
10.00	M	1	1				irre	yularitie	5.		enical (ty lan (type)	persevel N	one -	INOTIE	7			
1 AN	0%	and the second			1		Exte	erior Fa	lina	U	nbraced	Chimney	s	🗌 Hea	avy Clade	ding or H	eavy Ve	neer
							— Haz	ards:			arapets	,			pendages	3	, ,	
		The	1	~	200		_		-	0 []	ther:							
	S /14.		h					MMENT	S:		. ,			•.				
	120	794		A			_ C	bser	ved	exter	ior of	moc	lular	unit.	Unit	IS		
	3	m		3	10 C		n	otan	chor	ed to	the	siab	belo	N and	d res	ts		
A AN	Dallas	. /		26			0	n top	of w	lood	mem	bers	•					
		1		\checkmark														
	SKE	тсн						Addition	al sketch	ies or cor	nments o	on separa	ate page					
		В	ASIC	SCOF	RE, MO	DIFIE	RS, A	ND FIN	IAL LI	EVEL	1 SCO	RE, S	L1					
FEMA BUILDING TYPE	Do Not Know	W1	W1A	W2	S1 (MRF)	S2 (BR)	S3 (LM)	S4 (RC	S5 (URM	C1 (MRF)	C2 (SW)	C3 (URM	PC1 (TU)	PC2	RM1 (FD)	RM2 (RD)	URM	MH
Basic Score		2.1	1.9	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.6	SW)	INF) 1.2	1.0	1.2	INF) 0.9	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.9	[1,1]
Severe Vertical Irregularity, V_{L1}		-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.8	-0.7	-0.8	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.8	-0.6	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.6	NA
Moderate Vertical Irregularity, VL1		-0.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	NA
Plan Irregularity, PL1 Pre-Code		-0.7 -0.3	-0.7	-0.6 -0.3	-0.5	-0.5 -0.2	-0.6	-0.4 -0.2	-0.4	-0.4 -0.1	-0.5	-0.3 0.0	-0.5 -0.2	-0.4	-0.4 -0.2	-0.4 -0.2	-0.3 0.0	NA 0.0
Post-Benchmark		1.9	1.9	2.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.5	NA	1.4	1.7	NA	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.6	NA	0.5
Soil Type A or B		0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1
Soil Type E (1-3 stories)		0.0	-0.2	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.2 NA	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.1 NA
Minimum Score, S _{MIN}		0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.0
FINAL LEVEL 1 SCORE, S	_{L1} ≥ S _{MIN} :																	1.1
EXTENT OF REVIEW				T	OTHEF	RHAZ		;		ACT	ION R	EQUI	RED					
Exterior:	tial 🔲 A	All Sides	Aeri	al	Are There	Hazar	ds That	Trigger /	4	Detail	ed Struc	tural Ev	aluation	Require	ed?			
Interior: Ves Drawings Reviewed: Ves		/isible	∐ Ente	ered		structu	rai Evalu	ation?			es, unkno	wn FEN	IA buildir	ng type o	r other b	uilding		
Drawings Reviewed: Ves No Pounding potent									>		es, score es, other	hazards	present					
Soil Type Source:		Geologic Hazards Source: CGS Earthquake Hazards App. Falling hazards f									s from taller adjacent							
Soil Type Source: Geologic Hazards Source:	GS Eartho	quake H	lazards /	App.		y nazan	13 110111 0	aller adja	cent		Detailed Nonstructural Evaluation Recommended? (check one)							
Soil Type Source: Geologic Hazards Source: C Contact Person:	GS Eartho	quake H	lazards /	App.	└ Fallin buildi □ Geolo	g nazan ng ogic haz	ards or S	aller adja Soil Type	F	Detail	ed Nons	tructura	l Evalua	tion Rec	commen	ded? (ch	eck one)
Soil Type Source: Geologic Hazards Source: Contact Person:	CGS Eartho	quake H	lazards /	App.	☐ Fallin buildi ☐ Geolo ☐ Signit	g nazaro ng ogic haz icant da	ards or S mage/de	aller adja Soil Type eterioratio	F on to		ed Nons es, nonst	tructura ructural l	l Evalua hazards azards e	tion Rec identified	that sho	ded? (ch uld be ev	eck one valuated) It a
Soil Type Source: Geologic Hazards Source: Contact Person: LEVEL 2 SCREENING Z Yes, Final Level 2 Score, S	CGS Eartho PERFC	quake H	lazards / D? □ N	Арр. 0	☐ Fallin buildi ☐ Geolo ☐ Signit the st	g nazaro ng ogic haz icant da ructural	ards or S mage/de system	Soil Type	F on to		ed Nons es, nonst o, nonstr etailed ev	tructura ructural l uctural h aluation	I Evalua hazards azards e is not ne	tion Rec identified xist that cessary	that sho may requ	ded? (ch ould be ev uire mitig	<i>eck one,</i> /aluated ation, bu) it a
Soil Type Source: Geologic Hazards Source: Contact Person: LEVEL 2 SCREENING Yes, Final Level 2 Score, S Nonstructural hazards?	CGS Eartho PERFC	Quake H	Hazards / D? N N N	Арр. 0 0	☐ Fallin buildi ☐ Geolo ☐ Signit the st	ng ng icant da ructural	ards or S mage/de system	Soil Type	F on to	Detail	ed Nons es, nonst o, nonstr etailed ev o, no nor	tructural ructural h uctural h aluation structura	l Evalua hazards azards e is not ne al hazard	tion Rec identified xist that cessary Is identifi	commen I that sho may requ ed [ded? (ch uld be ev uire mitig	eck one, valuated ation, bu) It a
Soil Type Source: Geologic Hazards Source: Contact Person: LEVEL 2 SCREENING Yes, Final Level 2 Score, S Nonstructural hazards? Where info	PERFC PERFC	DRME	Hazards / ■ N ■ N N De verifie	App. 0 0 <i>d, scre</i>	☐ Fallin buildi ☐ Geolo ☐ Signit the st	g nazaro ng icant da ructural	ards or S image/de system he follow	aller adja Soil Type eterioratio	F on to ST = Est	Detail Detail Pro- No- No- No- No- No- No- No- N	ed Nons es, nonstr o, nonstr etailed ev o, no nor or unrelia	tructural ructural h aluation structura ble data	I Evalua hazards azards e is not ne al hazard	tion Rec identified exist that cessary ls identifi DNK = D	commen I that sho may requ ed [o Not Ki	ded? (ch uld be ev uire mitig DNK	eck one, valuated ation, bu) It a

Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards

FEMA P-154 Data Collection Form

Level 2 (Optional) VERY HIGH Seismicity

Optional Level 2 data collection to be performed by a civil or structural engineering professional, architect, or graduate student with background in seismic evaluation or design of buildings.

Bldg Name: Bleacher Storage	Final Level 1 Score:	$S_{L1} = 1.1$	(do not consider S_{MIN})
Screener:	Level 1 Irregularity Modifiers:	Vertical Irregularity, $V_{L1} = 0$	Plan Irregularity, $P_{L1} = 0$
Date/Time: 3/25/21, 11am	ADJUSTED BASELINE SCORE:	$S' = (S_{L1} - V_{L1} - P_{L1}) = 1.1$	

STRUCTURA	MODIFIEF	-RS 1	то				STED	BAS	\SF		COR	F								
Topic	Statement //	(If sta	taten	ent is	true o	ircle th	ne "Yes" i	modi	difie	r otherwis	se cros	<u> </u>	he modifi	er)					Yes	Subtotals
Vertical	Sloping W1 building: There is at least a full story grade change from one side of the building to the other												-0.9/							
Irregularity, V/2	Site	No	Non-W1 huilding: There is at least a full story grade change from one side of the building to the other										-0.2							
	Weak	W													-0/5					
	and/or	W	W1 house over garage: Underneath an occupied story, there is a garage opening without a steel moment frame												rame	y.5				
	Soft Story	an	and there is less than 8' of wall on the same line (for multiple occupied floors above, use 16' of wall minimum)											m)	-0 9/					
	(circle one	W	W1A building open front: There are openings at the ground story (such as for parking) over at least 50% of the												f the	0.0				
	maximum)	len	length of the building.												i uio	-0/9				
		No	Non-W1 building: Length of lateral system at any story is less than 50% of that at story above or height of any												any					
		sto	tory	s mor	e than	2.0 tin	nes the he	eight	ht of	t the story	above	e.	-00/	. ==					<i>f</i> 0.7	
		No	lon-\	V1 bu	ilding:	Lengt	n of latera	alsys	yste	em at any s	story is	s betwe	en 50% :	and 75% of	t that at	story al	bove or h	neight		
	0.11.1	of	t any	story	is betw	veen 1	.3 and 2.	.0 tim	imes	s the heigh	nt of th	ie story	above.						/ -0.4	
	Setback	Ve	ertic	al eler	ments (of the I	ateral sys	stem	m at	t an upper	story a	are out	board of	those at the	e story b	elow ca	ausing th	ne		
		dia	laph	agm I	to cant	lever a	at the offs	set.											-0.7	
		Ve	ertic	al eler	nents (of the I	ateral sys	stem	m at	t upper sto	pries ar	re inboa	ard of the	se at lower	r stories				-0.4	
		Th	here	is an	in-plan	e offse	et of the la	atera	ral e	elements t	hat is <u>(</u>	greater	than the	length of th	he eleme	ents.			-0.2	
	Short Column/	C1	21,C2	,C3,F	C1,PC	2,RM	I,RM2: A	t leas	ast 2	20% of co	lumns	or pier) or the ro	s) along	a column lii	ine in the	e latera	l system	have		
	Dior									mon donth		aeptin na		t level.	olf of the	danth	of the or	ondrol	-0.4	
	FIEI		r tho	.,UJ,F	infill w	2,RIVI	n,RIVIZ. 11	He CC	COIU	that chart	(OI PIE) is less	inan one na		uepun	or the sp	banurei,		
	Split Loval		bore				aujacent	floor	orlo	vole or at	the red	of							-014	
	Other	Th	hore	15 0 5	plit lev			1001			crity th	UI.		ooto tha hui	ildina'a d	niamia	norform		-0.4	v = 0
	Uner	Th	'here	is and	other o	boorva	ble mode	arete		ortical irror	anty th	that ODVI	ously all	the building	liuing S s		formana		-0.7	$V_{L2} = 0$
Dian	Tersional irra		nere	IS and				erale		enicarine	guianty	y linal in	iay allec		lys seis	mic per	(De ne	.e. ₄	10.4	(Cap at -0.9)
Fidil Irrogularity Dec	I orsional irregularity: Lateral system does not appear relatively well distributed in plan in either or both directions. (Do not											l	0.5							
inegularity, FL2	Include the vv IA open front irregularity listed above.)											othor	-0.5							
	Non-parallel system: There are one or more major vertical elements of the lateral system that are not orthogonal to each other.											Julei.	-0.4							
	Diophroam o	connei.	51. D	Thor		opopi	a in the	dian	obro		u 2070 Dwidth			an unnensio	broom	vidth of	that love		-9.2	
		I uperii Idina o	out.	finler		openii	ly in the	ulapi		ayın wilin a c do not ol	a wiutii ligo wit	th the of		e iulai ulapi	niayin v	nutii at	liatieve	<i>.</i>	70.2	D 0
	Other irregul	ularity:	V: Th	oro is	anoth	or ober		Jon in	irro	s up not al	at obvi		focts th	i pian. huilding's	soismic	norfor	manco		0.2	$F_{L2} = \mathbf{O}$
Podundanov	The building	ularity.	y. II s.atl	act to			oral olor	nonte		guianty the	at obvi	no buildi	ing in oa	ch direction		periori	nance.		+0.0	(Cup ut -0.7)
Pounding	Building is so	sopara	rator	from	an adi	acont		nenta		The floors	do not		artically y	vithin 2 foot	1. +		(Can tot	al	+0.2	
Founding	by less than	50paic n 1 5%	% of	the h	an auj ≏iaht o	f the el	norter of	F		ne huildir	na is 2	or more	e storios	tallor than t	ι. the othe	r	noundin	ai na	-0.1	
	the building a	n and a	adia	cent	structu	and		F	Т		ig is z	the one	d of the k			1.	modifier	ry s_at_0.01	-0.	
S2 Building	"K" bracing a		motr		siblo	c unu					iy is al			NUCK.			mounier	s al -0.3)	-04	
C1 Building	Flat plate cor	geom		ho ho	am in t	ho mo	mont fra	mo											-9.7	
DC1/DM1 Bldg	Thoro are rec	roof to	5 a5	II tios	that an			wp fr	from	n drawing	e that c	do not r	oly on cr	oss arain h	onding	/Do no	t combin	o with	- 4.5	
FC1/Rivit blug	nost honchm	mark	0-we	n nes	modifi	e visiu ar I		WII III	11011	ii ulawiiiys	s li la l			uss-yrain be	enung.	(00110			10.2	
PC1/RM1 Bldg	The building	in has			nound	full ho	iaht interi	ior w	walle	ls (rathor tl	han an	n interio	r enaco i	with fow wal	lle such	as in a	wareho		L0 2	
LIRM	Coble wells are present										use).	-0.2								
MH	Udule walls are presented existing system provided between the services and the second											+0.5	0							
Potrofit		supple	coie	nia se	trofit is	visible	or know	vp fro	rom			camaye		ground.					+0.5	_{M=} 0
			3013							1 ulawings		_ 1 1	1						Transfor	to Loval 1 form)
Thora is observab	2 SCORE,	\mathbf{S}_{L2}	.2 =	J'+	VL2 +	P _{L2} •	$V(I) \ge 3$	MIN:	v: noga	I.I ativoly affo	+ 0	= I .	l na'e enie	mic norform	anco.		oc [(Transier	lo Lever T Iomi)
If ves. describe th	e condition in t	n the c	com	ment	box be	low an	d indicate	e on	n the	e Level 1 f	form th	hat deta	iled eval	uation is red	auired ii	ndepen	dent of t	he buildii	na's score	
,,										0. //					1				5 - 500.0	
OBSERVABL	E NONSTRI	RUCT	TU	RAL	HAZ/	ARDS	;													
Location	Statement (0	(Chec	eck "	Yes" c	or "No")										Yes	No		Com	ment
Exterior	There is an u	unbra	race	unre	inforce	d mas	onry para	apet	et or	unbraced	unrein	nforced	masonry	chimney				//		

Location
Statement (Cneck 'Yes' or 'No')
Yes
No
Comment

Exterior
There is an unbraced unreinforced masonry parapet or unbraced unreinforced masonry chimney.
Image: Comment (Cneck 'Yes' or 'No')
Image: Comment (Cneck 'Yes' or 'No')
Image: Comment (Cneck 'Yes' or 'No')

Exterior
There is an unbraced unreinforced masonry parapet or unbraced unreinforced masonry chimney.
Image: Comment (Cneck 'Yes' or 'No')
Image: Comment (Cneck 'Yes' or 'No')

There is an unreinforced masonry appendage over exit doors or pedestrian walkways that appears inadequately supported.
Image: Comment (Cneck 'Age: Comment

Comments: