Building Name: Building B (Concessions)

CAAN ID: 4220B Auxiliary Building ID:



FORM 1
CERTIFICATE OF SEISMIC PERFORMANCE LEVEL

☑ UC-Designed & Constructed Facility☐ Campus-Acquired or Leased Facility

BUILDING DATA

Building Name: Building B (Concessions)

Address: 100 Constitution Ave, Los Angeles, CA, 90095

Site location coordinates: Latitude 34.0593801 Longitudinal -118.4592182

UCOP SEISMIC PERFORMANCE LEVEL (OR "RATING"): ∨

ASCE 41-17 Model Building Type:

a. Longitudinal Direction: RM1: Reinforced Masonry Walls w/ Flexible Diaphragms

b. Transverse Direction: RM1: Reinforced Masonry Walls w/ Flexible Diaphragms

Gross Square Footage: 860 Number of stories *above* grade: 1

Number of basement stories below grade: 0

Year Original Building was Constructed: 1984 Original Building Design Code & Year: UBC-1979

Retrofit Building Design Code & Code (if applicable): N/A

SITE INFORMATION

Site Class: D Basis: Inferred

Geologic Hazards:

Fault Rupture: No Basis: Inferred Liquefaction: No Basis: Inferred Landslide: No Basis: Inferred

ATTACHMENT

Original Structural Drawings: (UCLA Renovation of Sawtelle Field Phase 2, Gerald Lehmer Associates, 6/1/1982)

Seismic Evaluation: (Building A Seismic Evaluation Tier 1, KPFF, 01/29/2020, ASCE 41-17 Tier 1)

Retrofit Structural Drawings: (N/A)

Date: Jan 29, 2021

Building Name: Building B (Concessions)

CAAN ID: 4220B Auxiliary Building ID:



Date: Jan 29, 2021

CERTIFICATION & PRESUMPTIVE RATING VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Mark Hershberg, a California-licensed structural engineer, am responsible for the completion of this certificate, and I have no ownership interest in the property identified above. My scope of review to support the completion of this certificate included both of the following ("No" responses must include an explanation):

an explanation):
 a) the review of structural drawings indicating that they are as-built or record drawings, or that they otherwise are the basis for the construction of the building: ✓ Yes □ No b) visiting the building to verify the observable existing conditions are reasonably consistent with those shown on the structural drawings: □ Yes ☑ No Due to COVID-19 protocols, observations were performed for exterior of building only.
Based on my review, I have verified that the UCOP Seismic Performance Level (SPL) is presumptively permitted by the following UC Seismic Program Guidebook provision (choose one of the following):
\Box 1) Contract documents indicate that the original design and construction of the aforementioned building is in accordance with the benchmark design code year (or later) building code seismic design provisions for UBC or IBC listed in Table 1 below.
$ m f ec{f v}$ 2) The existing SPL rating is based on an acceptable basis of seismic evaluation completed in 2006 or later.
\square 3) Contract documents indicate that a comprehensive building seismic retrofit design was fully-constructed with an engineered design based on the 1997 UBC/1998 <i>or later</i> CBC, and (choose one of the following):
□ the retrofit project was completed by the UC campus. Further, the design was based on ground motion parameters, at a minimum, corresponding to BSE-1E (or BSE-R) and BSE-2E (or BSE-C) as defined in ASCE 41, or the full design basis ground motion required in the 1997 UBC/1998 CBC <i>or later</i> for EXISTING buildings, and is presumptively assigned an SPL rating of IV. □ the retrofit project was completed by the UC campus. Further, the design was based on ground motion parameters, at a minimum, corresponding to BSE-1 (or BSE-1N) and BSE-2 (or BSE-2N) as defined in ASCE 41, or the full design basis ground motion required in the 1997 UBC/1998 <i>or later</i> CBC for NEW buildings, and is presumptively assigned an SPL rating of III. □ the retrofit project was not completed by the UC campus following UC policies, and is presumptively assigned an SPL rating of IV.

¹ A comprehensive retrofit addresses the entire building structural system as indicated by the associated seismic evaluation, as opposed to addressing selective portions of the structural system.

Signature

Building Name: Building B (Concessions)

CAAN ID: 4220B Auxiliary Building ID:



Date: Jan 29, 2021

CERTIFICATION SIGNATURE

Mark Hershberg Principal

Print Name Title

S5078 6/30/2021

CA Professional Registration No. License Expiration Date

01/29/2021

KPFF Inc., (213) 418-0201, 700 S. Flower St., Suite 2100, Los

Angeles, CA 90017
Firm Name, Phone Number, and Address



Building Name: Building B (Concessions)

CAAN ID: 4220B Auxiliary Building ID:



Table 1: Benchmark Building Codes and Standards

Building Seismic Design P		Design Provisions
Building Type ^{a,b}	UBC	IBC
Wood frame, wood shear panels (Types W1 and W2)	1976	2000
Wood frame, wood shear panels (Type W1a)	1976	2000
Steel moment-resisting frame (Types S1 and S1a)	1997	2000
Steel concentrically braced frame (Types S2 and S2a)	1997	2000
Steel eccentrically braced frame (Types S2 and S2a)	1988 ^g	2000
Buckling-restrained braced frame (Types S2 and S2a)	f	2006
Metal building frames (Type S3)	f	2000
Steel frame with concrete shear walls (Type S4)	1994	2000
Steel frame with URM infill (Types S5 and S5a)	f	2000
Steel plate shear wall (Type S6)	f	2006
Cold-formed steel light-frame construction—shear wall system (Type CFS1)	1997 ^h	2000
Cold-formed steel light-frame construction—strap-braced wall system (Type CFS2)	f	2003
Reinforced concrete moment-resisting frame (Type C1) ⁱ	1994	2000
Reinforced concrete shear walls (Types C2 and C2a)	1994	2000
Concrete frame with URM infill (Types C3 and C3a)	f	f
Tilt-up concrete (Types PC1 and PC1a)	1997	2000
Precast concrete frame (Types PC2 and PC2a)	f	2000
Reinforced masonry (Type RM1)	1997	2000
Reinforced masonry (Type RM2)	1994	2000
Unreinforced masonry (Type URM)	f	f
Unreinforced masonry (Type URMa)	f	f
Seismic isolation or passive dissipation	1991	2000

Note: This table has been adapted from ASCE 41-17 Table 3-2. Benchmark Building Codes and Standards for Life Safety Structural Performed at BSE-1E.

Note: UBC = Uniform Building Code . IBC = International Building Code .

Date: Jan 29, 2021

 $^{^{\}rm a}\,$ Building type refers to one of the common building types defined in Table 3-1 of ASCE 41-17.

^b Buildings on hillside sites shall not be considered Benchmark Buildings.

^c not used

^d not used

e not used

 $^{^{\}it f}$ No benchmark year; buildings shall be evaluated in accordance with Section III.J.

g Steel eccentrically braced frames with links adjacent to columns shall comply with the 1994 UBC Emergency Provisions, published September/October 1994, or subsequent requirements.

 $^{^{\}it h}$ Cold-formed steel shear walls with wood structural panels only.

¹ Flat slab concrete moment frames shall not be considered Benchmark Buildings.



UCLA – Jackie Robinson Stadium, Building B (Concessions)

DATE: 1/29/2021

ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Seismic Evaluation
Minimum Building Report Information

BUILDING DATA

Campus: UCLA

Building Name: Building B - Concessions

CAAN ID: 4220B Auxiliary Building ID:

Address: 100 Constitution Ave, Los Angeles, CA, 90095

Site location coordinates: Latitude 34.0593801 Longitudinal -118.4592182







Aerial Photo

Exterior Elevation

ASCE 41-17 Model Building Type:

a. Longitudinal Direction: RM1: Reinforced Masonry Walls w/ Flexible Diaphragms

b. Transverse Direction: RM1: Reinforced Masonry Walls w/ Flexible Diaphragms

Site-specific Ground Motion Study? No

Seismic Design Acceleration Parameters of Interest:

a. For BSE-1E S_{XS} =0.892g and S_{X1} =0.512g b. For BSE-2E S_{XS} =1.829g and S_{X1} =0.937g

Estimated Fundamental Period (seconds)

a. Longitudinal: 0.11sb. Transverse: 0.11s

Gross Square Footage: 860

Number of stories above grade: 1

Number of basement stories below grade: 0

Year Original Building was Constructed: 1984 Original Building Design Code & Year: UBC-1979

Retrofit Building Design Code & Code (if applicable): N/A

SITE INFORMATION

Site Class: D Basis: Inferred

Geologic Hazards:

Fault Rupture: No Basis: Inferred Liquefaction: No Basis: Inferred Landslide: No Basis: Inferred

UCOP SEISMIC PERFORMANCE RATING (OR "RATING"): ∨

"BALLPARK" RETROFIT COST (if applicable)

■ Moderate (~\$50-\$200/sf)

Major (>\$200/sf)

SUMMARY TIER 1 SEISMIC EVALUATION STRUCTURAL NON-COMPLIANCES/FINDINGS SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTING RATING DETERMINATION

Significant Structural Deficiencies, Potentially Affecting Seismic Performance Level Designation:

Lateral System Stress Check (wall shear, column shear or flexure, or brace axial as
applicable)

- Lateral System Detailing (reinforcement ratio, confinement, aspect ratio, etc)
- Adjacent Buildings
- Weak Story
- ☐ Soft Story
- Geometry (vertical irregularities)
- Mass Vertical Irregularity
- Cripple Walls
- Wood Sills (bolting)
- Diaphragm Continuity
- Openings at Shear Walls (concrete or masonry)
- Liquefaction

	Slope Failure
	Surface Fault Rupture
\boxtimes	Masonry or Concrete Wall Anchorage at Diaphragm
	URM wall height to thickness ratio
	URM Parapets or Cornices
	URM Chimney
	Heavy Partitions Braced by Ceilings
	Appendages

POTENTIAL FALLING HAZARDS

	Heavy ceilings, features or ornamentation above large lecture halls, auditoriums
	lobbies or other areas where large numbers of people congregate.
	Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways.
	Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or other ornamentation above exit ways.
	Unrestrained hazardous materials storage.
	Masonry chimneys.
	Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such as water heaters, boilers,
	emergency generators, etc.
\boxtimes	None of the above.

Due to current COVID-19 protocols, we did not verify in field that as-built documentation match current conditions or perform any condition assessment of the existing structure to identify falling hazards as required by the UCOP SSP.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ANTICIPATED FAILURE MECHANISM

The diaphragm at Building B is not directly connected to the masonry shear walls and could be sensitive to load transfer issues, particularly at walls oriented in the transverse direction of the structure. The walls oriented in this direction do not have a clearly detailed load path for the transfer of inertial forces from the diaphragm to the reinforced masonry walls. It is assumed that forces are transferred via longitudinally oriented walls and headers that would have to span in their weak axis to transfer the loads. We were not able to justify these load paths bath based on the prescribed checks in Tier 1.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that a Tier 2 evaluation be performed. A Tier 2 evaluation will provide more information related to the potential load path issues observed at Building B that were not necessarily covered in the Tier 1 evaluation .

Appendices

- A. ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Checklists
- B. Quick Check Calculations